



PheniX-Volga: 3D positioning in a Germanium DSSD



Isidre Mateu

(On behalf of Phenix-Volga's team)

R&T Phenix-Volga

Future of Hard X-ray Astrophysics (1 - 500keV)





DSSD: Double Sided Strip Detector







1.System description

2. Energy Measurement

3.3D positioning.

4.Conclusions.



Acquisition system





Analog signal processing









Development of a complete system simulation, from the detector response to the signal processing tools.



- MGS: Software tool developed and validated by the IPHC laboratory in the frame of the project AGATA.
- It focuses on the electron-hole pair collection process and the synthesis of the current pulses induced at the electrodes by the motion of the charges.







1.System description

2.Energy Measurement

3.3D positioning.

4.Conclusions.





The amplitude of the pulse is measured through trapezoidal shaping to filter noise



➤ The energy measured on one electronic channel does not correspond to the energy deposited by the photon → Charge may be collected on several consecutive strips





Charge sharing



- Charge sharing phenomena degrades energy resolution:
 - $\circ~$ Charge losses.
 - Increase of electronic noise.
- Strips can not be calibrated separately.
- Charge losses in the gap may be corrected.







- Measured resolutions:
 - 1.7 keV @ 60 keV
 - 2.0 keV @ 122 keV
- Margin for improvement.
 - Presence of LF noise (microphonics).
 - Temperature (detector + amplifiers) not optimal.
 - No charge loss correction.







1.System description2.Energy Measurement**3.3D positioning.**4.Conclusions.





- Positioning principle:
 - XY plane: intersection between the anode and the cathode strips define pixels.
 - Along z, position is obtained from timing measurements.
- ➢ 3D positioning allows:
 - Background reduction.
 - Measurement of Compton scattering angle.







CAN WE IMPROVE SPATIAL RESOLUTION?

- > If the charge is collected on one strip.
 - Pixel size equal to strip width



- Charge always shared between 2 strips.
 - $\circ~$ 4x number of pixels.
 - Even better accuracy from exact sharing ratio.



CHARGE SHARING BENEFITS SPATIAL RESOLUTION!

R&T Phenix-Volga





CHARGE SHARING DOES NOT OCCUR FOR ALL EVENTS.

- > Alternative: mirror charges.
 - Fast transitory signals that appear on the strips adjacent to those collecting the charge.
- > Their analysis tells us about the interaction position.
- > Simulations show that they can not be used with our current noise levels.
 - Need to improve SNR.
 - Wider strips?







- Implemented 3D location algorithm: matrix algorithm. (Khaplanov, A. 2010, Phd thesis).
- Based on the knowledge of the detector response to a grid of basis points in the detector volume. The measured pulses are compared to the basis response in order to find the best fit in the least square error sense.

Benefits:

- Direct solution of the interaction: number of depositions, energy, 3D position.
- Spatial resolution better than basis grid granularity.
- Allows study of charge sharing/mirror charges.



Future of Hard X-ray Astrophysics (1 - 500keV)



Algorithm validation



Validation of the algorithm

Detection plane: irradiation of the detector using different masks.



Interaction depth: comparison with theoretical absorption curves at different energies



Future of Hard X-ray Astrophysics (1 - 500keV)





1.System description
 2.Energy Measurement
 3.3D positioning.
 4.Conclusions.





- DSSD technology allows 3D reconstruction of the interaction position.
- Charge sharing phenomena affects spectroscopy and positioning performances.
- > Full characterization of the detector response will allow:
 - Assess the impact of charge losses on the energy resolution.
 - Correction of charge losses in the gap.
- Choice of strip width represents a trade-off.

Wider strips	Narrower strips
 Energy resolution Less electronic channels Spatial resolution Mirror charges 	 Energy resolution More electronic channels Spatial resolution